

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning  
Applications Committee held at  
Council Chamber, Surrey Heath  
House, Knoll Road, Camberley, GU15  
3HD on 10 May 2018**

---

+ Cllr Edward Hawkins (Chairman)  
+ Cllr Nick Chambers (Vice Chairman)

- |                                   |                         |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| + Cllr Mrs Vivienne Chapman       | - Cllr Adrian Page      |
| - Cllr Colin Dougan               | + Cllr Robin Perry      |
| + Cllr Surinder Gandhum           | + Cllr Ian Sams         |
| + Cllr Jonathan Lytle             | + Cllr Conrad Sturt     |
| + Cllr Katia Malcaus Cooper       | + Cllr Pat Tedder       |
| + Cllr David Mansfield            | + Cllr Victoria Wheeler |
| + Cllr Max Nelson                 | + Cllr Valerie White    |
| + Present                         |                         |
| - Apologies for absence presented |                         |

\*Cllr Victoria Wheeler was present from midway through Minute 72/P

Officers Present: Duncan Carty, Michelle Fielder, Jessica Harris-Hooton,  
Eddie Scott

**71/P Minutes of Previous Meeting**

The minutes of the meeting held on 5 April 2018 were confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

**72/P Application Number: 18/0180- 123 London Road, Bagshot**

The application was for the installation of external lighting at a recently opened drive-thru coffee shop. The application was an alternative lighting scheme to the scheme refused planning permission (under SU/17/0705), which was subject of enforcement action and was now subject to appeal.

This application would normally be determined under the Council's scheme of Delegation. However, it was reported to the Planning Applications Committee at the request of the Executive Head of Regulatory.

Members were advised of the following updates:

"Two representations have been received in support (including one from the Bagshot Matters Residents' Association) with the following comments:

- The height of the lighting being proposed is far more acceptable than the existing lighting;
- Support the proposal, subject to the clear understanding the existing lighting will be removed permanently;

- Proposal appears to address the concerns since the site has been operating and it is a matter for regret that this matter was not resolved sooner;
- The excising lighting was designed on an assumption that the site falls within a “suburban environmental” zone and that this should be reviewed to avoid further undesirable creep of intrusive light pollution and nuisance.

The applicant has requested an amendment to proposed Condition 4 to allow four of the bollards to remain lit one hour before and one hour after the opening hours to allow safe passage for staff (with three located to the front of the building and one to the side of the building and close to the edge of the rear patio) and one (on the rear patio) to operate under a motion sensor. A revised drawing has been provided which indicates which bollards are to be so lit.

The Council’s Senior Environmental Health Officer supports this suggested amendment and Conditions 2 and 4 are proposed to be amended as below.

#### AMENDED CONDITIONS:

2. The proposed development shall be implemented in accordance with the following approved plans: 16572/E/500 Rev. P7 and 2402 PL110 Rev. D unless the prior written approval has been obtained from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interest of proper planning and as advised in ID.17a of the Planning Practice Guidance.

4. The external lighting hereby approved shall only be illuminated during the approved hours of operation (as limited by Condition 5 of planning permission SU/15/0872) for the coffee shop/drive thru business on the site, with the exceptions being the four bollards indicated on Drawing No. 16572/E/5000 Rev. P7 to be lit for an extra hour before the opening of the café unit and an extra hour after the closure of this unit and one bollard on the rear patio also indicated on Drawing No. 16572/E/5000 Rev. P7 to operate under a motion sensor, unless the prior written approval has been obtained from the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interest of residential amenity and to comply with Policy DM9 of the Surrey Heath Core Strategy and Development Management Policies 2012 and the National Planning Policy Framework.”

The officer recommendation to grant the application was proposed by Councillor Valerie White and seconded by Councillor Katia Malcaus Cooper, and put to the vote and carried.

**RESOLVED that application 18/0180 be granted subject to the conditions as set out in the report and planning updates of the Executive Head - Regulatory.**

#### **Note 1**

In accordance with Part 4, Section D, Paragraph 18 of the Constitution, Cllr Victoria Wheeler did not vote on the application as she was not present for the whole consideration of the item.

**Note 2**

In accordance with Part 4, Section D, paragraph 18 of the Constitution, the voting in relation to the application was as follows:

Voting in favour of the recommendation to grant the application:

Councillors Nick Chambers, Mrs Vivienne Chapman, Surinder Gandhum, Edward Hawkins, Jonathan Lytle, Katia Malcaus Cooper, David Mansfield, Max Nelson, Robin Perry, Ian Sams, Conrad Sturt, Pat Tedder and Valerie White.

**73/P      Application Number: 18/0224- 33 Upper Park Road, Camberley**

The application was for the erection of a part two storey, part three storey building with accommodation in the roof space to provide 8 No. one bedroom and 2 No. two bedroom flats for the learning disabled with associated accommodation following the demolition of existing building.

Members received the following updates on the application:

“The County Highway Authority has raised no objections to the proposal, subject to conditions. Two of these suggested conditions have been provided within the officer report and a third suggested condition is added below.

A complaint has been received about the position of the building in respect of its minimum distance to a flank boundary (with 29-31 Upper Park Road), which should provide a minimum 1 metre gap. This has been checked by the Corporate Enforcement team who have confirmed that the minimum gap is between 0.9 and 1 metre which is within allowable tolerances.

A landscaping scheme has been agreed for the approved scheme SU/16/0691. As such, Condition 5 is to be amended as below.

**AMENDED CONDITION:**

5. The hard and soft landscaping for the development shall be provided in accordance with the details provided and approved for planning permission SU/16/0691 on 8 May 2018. All plant material shall conform to BS3936:1992 Parts 1 – 5: Specification for Nursery Stock. Handling, planting and establishment of trees shall be in accordance with BS 8545:2014 Trees: from nursery to independence in the landscape.  
Reason: To preserve and enhance the visual amenities of the locality in accordance with Policy DM9 of the Surrey Heath Core Strategy and Development Management Policies 2012.

**ADDITIONAL CONDITION**

The development hereby approved shall not be occupied unless and until at least two of the available parking spaces, provided under Condition 8 above, have been provided with a fast charge socket (current minimum requirements – 7kw Mode 3 with Type 2 connector – 230v AC 32 Amp single phase dedicated supply) in accordance with a scheme to be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority and shall thereafter retained in perpetuity.

Reason: In the interests of promoting sustainable development and to comply with Policies CP2, CP11 and DM11 of the Surrey Heath Core Strategy and Development Management Policies 2012 and the National Planning Policy Framework and advice within the Surrey County Council “Vehicular and Cycle Parking Guidance - January 2018”.

The officer recommendation to grant the application was proposed by Councillor Nick Chambers and seconded by Councillor Mrs Vivienne Chapman, and put to the vote and carried.

**RESOLVED that the application 18/0224 be granted subject to the conditions as set out in the report and planning updates of the Executive Head – Regulatory.**

**Note 1**

In accordance with Part 4, Section D, paragraph 18 of the Constitution, the voting in relation to the application was as follows:

Voting in favour of the recommendation to grant the application:

Councillors Nick Chambers, Mrs Vivienne Chapman, Surinder Gandhum, Edward Hawkins, Jonathan Lytle, Katia Malcaus Cooper, David Mansfield, Max Nelson, Robin Perry, Ian Sams, Conrad Sturt, Pat Tedder, Victoria Wheeler and Valerie White.

Chairman